TJR

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,090	12/29/2000	Jeffery F. Harness	2207/10377	6380

23838 7590 01/19/2007

KENYON & KENYON LLP
1500 K STREET N.W.
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER	
DO, CHAT C	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2193	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 09/750,090	Applicant(s) HARNESS ET AL.	
	Examiner Chat C. Do	Art Unit 2193	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The reply filed 26 December 2006 is acknowledged.

1. ☐ The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered because:

   a. ☐ The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).

   b. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).

2. ☐ The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.

   Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).

3. ☒ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

4. ☒ Other: *The applicant argues that the cited reference fails to disclose the structure/limitations detecting opposite logic values of both adjacent bits. The examiner respectfully submits that the argument is clearly presented and addressed in the previous Office action wherein the cited reference clearly disclose an invention of detecting a glitch within data. A glitch is define as a spike or high logic value wherein both adjacent bits are low. Thus, the cited reference must disclose either inherently or expressively a method of detecting opposite logic values of both adjacent bits.*

TAN V. MAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER